ASCC NMS Panel

Approved Minutes

Monday, January 27, 2020 2:00-3:30PM

110 Denney Hall

ATTENDEES: Craigmile, Dinan, Oldroyd, Ottesen, Panero, Vaessin

Agenda:

1. First-year Seminar—Audrey Sawyer
* **Request concurrence from the College of Food, Agricultural, and Environmental Sciences.**
* *The OSU grading scheme mentioned in the syllabus is not an official grading scale. Provide the grading scale on the syllabus.*
* *The Panel recommends asking students to opt-in to having their stories shared on the @osuwaterissues Twitter page.*
* *The requirements for assignments and the structure of the course policies could be clearer. The Panel recommends breaking up “Course Policies” and “Assignments” into two sections, and proving more information on grading.*
* *The course policies and assignments mention “week 10,” but the course is a first-session offering.*
* *The Panel recommends adjusting some of the readings from sources like the “Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences” if they are journal articles rather than commentary articles. These readings may be beyond the level of a first-year seminar.*
* Ottesen, Craigmile, **unanimously approved** with **one contingency** (in bold above) and *six recommendations* (in italics above)
1. Revision to the Biomedical Informatics Specialization in the Data Analytics BS
* *Four-year plan:*
	+ *Move MolGen 4500/4606 closer to Bio 1113 in the four-year plan. Students may struggle if they take MolGen 4500/4606 two full years after Bio 1113.*
	+ *The four-year plan is missing the third required Natural Science GE.*
* *The second page of the proposal says “Students will be able to complete the prerequisites by taking courses that also satisfy existing General Education requirements.” The Panel recommends revising this to indicate that all prerequisites, with the exception of CSE 1223, also satisfy GE requirements.*
* *The Panel recommends developing a transition plan for current students.*
* *Will the program assessment plan for the program change due to the revised learning outcomes? If so, the Panel recommends including the revised assessment plan.*
* Craigmile, Ottesen, **unanimously approved** with *three recommendations* (in italics above)